

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/606,307	Applicant(s) CHALK, EDWIN ALBERT JAMES	
	Examiner Robert P. Swiatek	Art Unit 3643	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Ira s. Dorman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2005
**Time:** =

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Possible 35 USC 102(b)*

Claims discussed:

9, 24

Prior art documents discussed:

*Salvia (US 3,958,535)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicant's representative authorized a change to claim 1 to further define it over the prior art and place it in better form for issue. See examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)